UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,062	01/12/2005	Wolfgang Fuchs	3167	6468
Striker Striker &	7590 10/27/201 Stenby	EXAMINER		
103 East Neck l	Road	GRANT, ALVIN J		
Huntington, NY 11743			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/27/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/521,062	FUCHS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		ALVIN J. GRANT	3723			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 Au</u>	iaust 2010				
· · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٥/ك	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice and i	x parte gaayle, 1000 C.D. 11, 10	0.0.210.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 59-16702 in view of Welner 4,205,572.

JP 59-16702 discloses a circular saw having the claimed elements that include a housing (2), a motor (5) a saw blade (4); a footplate (22), wherein the saw assembly is pivotably and rigidly supported on a swivel arm (18), wherein the saw assembly is pivotable relative to the footplate to be adjustable between a minimum and a maximum cutting depth. JP 59-16702 does not specifically disclose decoupling the assembly from handling forces that act on the saw blade. Weiner discloses a saw blade retainer and kickback clutch assembly that decouples the forces that act on the saw blade so as to preclude the occurrence of damage to the tool and operator. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the JP 59-16702 apparatus to have a retainer and kickback clutch assembly that decouples the forces that act on the saw blade as taught by Weiner so as to preclude the occurrence of damage to the tool and operator. It is noted that if the force is decoupled from the saw blade then the blade would remain in the workpiece.

Art Unit: 3723

Claims 6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 59-16702 in view of Welner and in further view of Smith 7,255,144.

JP 59-16702 as modified is described above. The modified JP 59-16702 does not disclose a ball and detent to isolate the vibration forces. Smith discloses an apparatus that implements a ball and detent configuration to isolate vibration forces in order to maximize the efficiency of the cutting operation. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the apparatus of the modified JP 59-16702 to implement a ball and detent configuration to isolate vibration forces in order to maximize the efficiency of the cutting operation.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 8/16/10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's argument that Japanese Patent JP 59-16702 does not provide a hint or motivation to combine its teachings wit US Patent 7,255,144 (to Werner) by arranging the corresponding units and components in the manner defined in the amended claims is not convincing. Applicant's amendments more accurately reflect the arrangement of the elements, and are consistent with the arrangement of the elements of the '702 patent as is identified above. This does not impact the disclosure of the '702 Patent when combined with the teachings of the Werner Patent.

Art Unit: 3723

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALVIN J. GRANT whose telephone number is (571)272-4484. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alvin J Grant/ Examiner, Art Unit 3723